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## METHOD OF ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS IN PLANE ANTISYMMETRIC MIXED
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The possibility is shown of applying the method of orthogonal polynomials to solve some integral equations of a special kind if the eigenfunctions of the integral operator corresponding to the principal (singular) part of the kernel are unknown. Use of the classical scheme $[1-3]$ is impossible in this case. However, by using modified Chebyshev polynomials, an integral equation of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{k}^{1} \varphi(\xi) \ln \left|\frac{\xi+x}{\xi-x}\right| d \xi=\pi f(x)-\int_{k}^{1} \varphi(\xi) G(\xi, x, \lambda) d \xi  \tag{0.1}\\
& G(\xi, x, \lambda)=\xi x G_{*}(\xi, x, \lambda), k \leqslant x \leqslant 1, \lambda \in(0, \infty), k \in(0,1)
\end{align*}
$$

is successfully reduced to an infinite algebraic system of the first kind convenient for approximate solution. Here $\lambda, k$ are dimensionless parameters, $G_{*}$ is a continuous, even, and symmetric function in $\xi, x$. Plane antisymmetric mixed problems of elasticity theory with two contact sections, odd in $x$. reduce to equations of the type (0.1). The odd function $f(x)$ describes the shape of the boundary layer on the contact section $k \leqslant|x| \leqslant 1$ altered under the effect of stamps.

Considered as an illustration is the problem of impressing two flat stamps into a strip.

1. Representing the function $f(x)=f_{0}(x)+\beta \operatorname{sgn} x$, we seek the solution $\varphi(\xi)$ of ( 0.1 ) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi(\xi)=\varphi_{0}(\xi)+\varphi_{1}(\xi)  \tag{1.1}\\
& \int_{\xi}^{1} \varphi_{0}(\xi) \ln \left|\frac{\xi+x}{\xi-x}\right| d \xi=\pi j_{0}(x) \quad\{\kappa<x \leqslant 1\} \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\varphi_{0}(\xi)$, the solution of the integral equation (1.2), is given by formulas in [4] in which it is assumed that $x / a=x, \xi / a=\xi, b / a=k, a=1$. We have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varphi_{0}(x)=\frac{2 \operatorname{sgn} x}{\pi g(x)}\left[M_{0}-\int_{k}^{1} \frac{g(\xi) f_{n^{\prime}}(\xi) \xi}{\xi^{2}-x^{2}} d \xi\right]  \tag{1.3}\\
M_{0}=\int_{k}^{1} \varphi_{0}(x) x d x=\int_{k}^{1}\left[\frac{E(k)}{K(k)}-1+x^{2}\right] \frac{f_{0}(x)}{g(x)} d x
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
P_{0}=\int_{k}^{1} \varphi_{0}(x) d x=\frac{1}{K(k)} \int_{k}^{1} \frac{f_{0}(x)}{g(x)} d x, \quad g(x)=\sqrt{\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(x^{2}-k^{2}\right)}
$$

Here $K(k), E(k) \quad$ are the complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind, respectively. Then the function $\varphi_{1}(x)$ is found from ( 0.1 ) in which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x)=\beta \operatorname{sgn} x-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{k}^{1} \varphi_{0}(\xi) G(\xi, x, \lambda) d \xi \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

must be taken in place of $f(x)$. The solution of the integral equation ( 0.1 ) has a singularity of type $g^{-1}(x)$, and only one eigenfunction $\Phi_{0}(x)=\operatorname{sgn} x$ with weight $[\pi g(x)]^{-1}$ and eigennumber $K(k)$ has successfully been sought for the integral operator in the left side of $(0.1)$. Let us seek the solution $\varphi_{1}(x)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{!} \cdot(x)=\Phi(x)[|x| g(x)]^{-1} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us seek the function $\Phi(x)$, continuous with all derivatives for $x \in[k, 1]$ as a series in modified Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind $T_{i}{ }^{*}(x)$ which forms a system with weight $\lceil\pi x g(x)\rfloor^{-1}$ orthonormalized in the segment $[k, 1]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} T_{i}^{*}(x), \quad T_{i}^{*}(x)=x T_{2 i}\left(\sqrt{\frac{x^{2}-k^{2}}{1-k^{2}}}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the operator $L_{-}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{-}(\varphi)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{k}^{1} \varphi(\xi) \ln \left|\frac{\xi+x}{\xi-x}\right| \frac{d \xi}{\xi g(\xi)} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

operate on the function $T_{n}{ }^{*}(\xi)$. Integrating, we obtain the equality ( $C_{n} . C_{r, ;}$ are certain constants)

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{-}\left(T_{n}^{*}\right)=C_{n} \operatorname{sgn} x+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} C_{n i} T_{i}^{*}(x) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We expand the function $G(\xi, x, \lambda)$ in a double series in the polynomials $T_{i}{ }^{*}(x)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(\xi, x, \lambda)=\sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i j} e_{i j} T_{i}^{*}(x) T_{j}^{*}(\xi)  \tag{1.9}\\
& \alpha_{00}=1, \quad \alpha_{i 0}=\alpha_{0 j}=2, \quad \alpha_{i j}=4
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (1.9) into (1.4) and integrating, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x)=\beta \operatorname{sgn} x+\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta_{i} b_{i} T_{i}^{*}(x) \quad\left(\beta_{0}=\frac{1}{2}, \quad \beta_{i}=1\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the property of orthogonality of the polynomials $T_{i}^{*}(x)$ and the change of variables

$$
x=\sqrt{1-k^{\prime 2} \sin ^{2} y}, \quad \xi=\sqrt{1-k^{\prime 2} \sin ^{2} \eta}, \quad k^{\prime}=\sqrt{1-k^{2}}
$$

we obtain an expression to determine the cocfficients $b_{i}$ and $e_{i j}$ (it is assumed that the coefficient $\beta$ is known)

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i}=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\psi^{*}(y) \cos 2 i y}{\sqrt{1-k^{\prime 2} \sin ^{2} y}} d y \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{i j}=\frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{G^{*}(\eta, y, \lambda) \cos 2 i y \cos 2 \eta \eta}{\sqrt{\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} y\right)\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \eta\right)}} d y d \eta \\
& \psi^{*}(y)=\psi\left(\sqrt{\left.1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} y\right)}-\beta\right. \\
& G^{*}(\eta, y, \lambda)=G\left(\sqrt{1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \eta}, \quad \sqrt{1-k^{\prime 2} \sin ^{2} y, \lambda}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the expansion (1.6), we substitute (1.5), (1.9), (1.10) into the integral equation for $\varphi_{1}(x)$; after performing all the necessary operations, equating the coefficients of $\operatorname{sgn} x$ and the polynomials $T_{i}^{*}(x)$ of the same order, we obtain an infinite system of algebraic equations in the coefficients $a_{i}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} C_{j} a_{j}=\beta  \tag{1.12}\\
& \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e_{i j} a_{j}+\gamma_{i} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} C_{j i} a_{j}=b_{i} \quad\left(\gamma_{0}=2, \Upsilon_{i}=1, i=0,1,2, \ldots\right)
\end{align*}
$$

2. The coefficients $C_{n}$ and $C_{n i}$, found for each $n$ from (1.8), which is tedious enough, enter into the system (1,12). No formulas expressing $C_{n}$ and $C_{n i}$ directly in terms of $k$ for arbitrary $n$ have been found.

We derive formulas expressing the interrelation between the coefficients $C_{n}$ and $C_{n i}$ To this end, let us take the function $f(x)$ for (1.2) as the right side of (1.8) and by using (1.3) we find the solution $\varphi_{0}(x)$ of $(1,2)$ for this case and we equate the expression $|x| g(x) \varphi_{0}(x)$ to the polynomial $T_{n}^{*}(x)$. We hence use the relationship ( $T_{2 n}$ are Chebyshev polynomials in the form of a sum [5])

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{2 n}(u)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{i} n}{2 n-i}\binom{2 n-i}{i}(2 u)^{2 n-2 i} \\
& \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\sqrt{1-v^{2}}}{u^{2}-v^{2}} d v=\frac{\pi}{2} \quad(0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the change of variable $x=\sqrt{k^{2}+k^{\prime 2}} u$, after manipulation we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{2 n}(\sqrt{u})=k^{\prime 2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} C_{n i}\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{i} \frac{(-1)^{j} 4^{i-j}}{2 i-i}\binom{2 i-j}{j}\left[u^{i-j+1}-\frac{1}{2} u^{i-j}-S_{1 j}(u)\right]-\right.  \tag{2.1}\\
4 i \sum_{j=1}^{i}(-1)^{j} 4^{i-j}\binom{2 i-j}{j-1}\left[u^{i-j+2}+\left(\frac{1}{k^{\prime 2}}-\frac{3}{2}\right) u^{i-j+1}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{k}{k^{\prime}}\right)^{2} u^{i-j}-\right. \\
\left.\left.\left(\frac{h}{k^{\prime}}\right)^{2} S_{1 j}(u)-S_{2 j}(u)\right]\right\}+\left[\frac{E(k)}{K(k)}-\frac{k^{\prime 2}}{2}\right] C_{n 0}+\frac{k^{\prime \prime}}{4} C_{21}+\frac{C_{n}}{K(h)} \\
S_{1 j}=\sum_{m=1}^{i-3} \sum_{s=0}^{m-1}(-1)^{s}\binom{i-j}{m}\binom{m-1}{s} a_{m s} u^{i-j-m+z}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{2 j}=\sum_{m=0}^{i-j} \sum_{s=0}^{m}(-1)^{s}\binom{i-j+1}{m+1}\binom{m}{s} b_{m s} u^{i-j-m+s} \\
& a_{m s}=\frac{(2 m-2 s-1)!!}{(2 m-2 s+2)!!}, \quad b_{m s}=\frac{(2 m-2 s+1)!!}{(2 m-2 s+4)!!}
\end{aligned}
$$

To simplify (2.1), we need the following equality:

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{n}(-1)^{m} m^{s}\binom{n}{m}=\left\{\begin{align*}
-1, & s=0  \tag{2,2}\\
0, & s \geqslant 1, \quad n \geqslant s+1
\end{align*}\right.
$$

which is known [5] for $s=0$ and $s=1$, and is easily proved by induction for $s \geqslant$ 2. By using (2.2), the following equality [5] is proved:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=s}^{n-1}(-1)^{m}\binom{n}{m+1}\binom{m}{s}=(-1)^{s} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We reverse the order of summation for $S_{1 j}, S_{2 j}$ in (2.1), and after simple manipulation, we apply ( 2.3 ) to the inner sums. We obtain the equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1 j}=\sum_{s=1}^{i-j} \frac{(2 s-1)!!}{(2 s+2)!!} u^{i-j-s}, \quad S_{2 j}=\sum_{s=0}^{i-j} \frac{(2 s+1)!!}{(2 s+4)!!} u^{i-j-s} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.4) into (2.1), and again changing the order of summation in the remaining double sums, by using the equalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=s}^{i} \frac{(-4)^{j} i}{i+i}\binom{i+j}{2 j} a_{j s}=(-1)^{s} 4^{s-1}\binom{i+s-2}{2 s-2} \frac{2 i-1}{2 s-1}  \tag{2.5}\\
& \sum_{j=s}^{i-1}(-1)^{j} 4^{j+1}\binom{i+j}{2 j} b_{j s}=(-1)^{s} 2^{2 s-1}\binom{i+s-2}{2 s-1} \\
& \sum_{j=s}^{i-1}(-1)^{j} 4^{j+1}\binom{i+j}{2 j+1} a_{j s}=(-1)^{s} 2^{2 s+1}\binom{i+s-1}{2 s}
\end{align*}
$$

we write the final form of the simplified equality (2.1) after regrouping terms:

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{2 n}(\sqrt{u})=\frac{C_{n}}{K(k)}+\left[k^{\prime 2}(u-1)+\frac{E(k)}{K(k)}\right] C_{n 0}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left[2^{2 j-1}(2 j+1) \times\right.  \tag{2.6}\\
\left.k^{\prime 2} u^{j+1}-\sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{(-1)^{j-i} 4^{i-1}(j+i-2)!}{(2 i-1)!(j-i)!} p_{j}(k) u^{i}+(-1)^{j} 2 j k^{2}\right] C_{n j} \\
p_{j}(k)=\left(4 i j^{2}+i-1\right)(j-i+1)^{-1} k^{\prime 2}-4 j^{2} i^{-1}(j+i-1) k^{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equating terms of identical power in $u$ in (2.6), we obtain a system of $n+1$ equations in the coefficients $C_{n}$ and $C_{n i}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& (2 n-1) k^{\prime 2} C_{n n-1}=4  \tag{2.7}\\
& (2 i-1) k^{\prime 2} C_{n i-1}+\frac{2(-1)^{i}}{(2 i-1)!} \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^{j}(j+i-2)!}{(1-i)!} p_{j}(k) C_{n j}= \\
& (-1)^{n-i}\binom{n+i}{2 i} \frac{8 n}{n+i} \quad(i=n-1, n-2, \ldots, 2) \\
& 2{k^{2}}^{2} C_{n 0}+8 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{j} j\left(k^{\prime 2}-j^{2} k^{2}\right) C_{n j}=(-1)^{n-1} 4 n^{2} \\
& \frac{8 C_{n}}{K(k)}+8\left[\frac{E(k)}{K(k)}-k^{\prime 2}\right] C_{n 0}+16 k^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{j} j C_{n j}=8(-1)^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficient matrix of the system (2.7) is a triangular one. The unknown $C_{n i}$ are easily found one after the other, starting with $C_{n i-1}$. However, the system (2.7) can be simplified considerably. Multiplying both sides of (2.7) by

$$
\binom{2 i}{i-m}
$$

we add the first $n-m+1$ equations. Then by using the identities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=m}^{j} \frac{d_{j m}}{(j-i)!}=\sum_{j=m}^{j-1} \frac{d_{j m}}{(j-i-1)!}=0, \quad d_{j m}=\frac{(-1)^{i}(j+i-3)!}{(i-m)!(i+m)!}  \tag{2.8}\\
& (0 \leqslant m \leqslant i-b) \\
& \sum_{i=m}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{i}}{n+i}\binom{n+i}{2 i}\binom{2 i}{i-m}=0 \quad(0 \leqslant m \leqslant n-1)
\end{align*}
$$

(whose validity is proved by induction, as indeed was (2.5)), it can be shown that the coefficients of $C_{n i}$ for $i \geqslant m+2$ and $0 \leqslant m \leqslant n-3$, as well as the free terms for $0 \leqslant m \leqslant n-1$ are zero. Adding the first two equations of the system (2.7) successively ( $m=n-1$ ), the three equations ( $m=n-2$ ) etc., we obtain the following recursion formulas for $C_{n}$ and $C_{n i}\left(C_{n i}=0\right.$ for $\left.i \geqslant n\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& (2 n-1) k^{\prime 2} C_{n n-1}=4, \quad 4 C_{n}+2 q_{01}\left(C_{n i}\right)=k^{\prime 2} K(k) C_{n 1}  \tag{2.9}\\
& q_{i}\left(C_{n i}\right)=-C_{n 0}(i=1), \quad q_{i}\left(C_{n i}\right)=0 \quad(i=2,3, \ldots, n-1) \\
& q_{01}\left(C_{n i}\right)=\left[2 E(k)-k^{\prime 2} K(k)\right] C_{n 0}+k^{\prime 2} K(k) C_{n 1} \\
& q_{i}\left(C_{n i}\right)=(2 i-1) k^{\prime 2} C_{n i-1}+4 i\left(1+k^{2}\right) C_{n i}+(2 i+1) k^{\prime 2} C_{n i+1}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.9), terms containing $C_{j}$ and $C_{j i}$ can generally be eliminated from equations of the system (1.12). Let us multiply the third equation of the system (1.12) by $k^{\prime 2} K(k)$, the second by $2 E(k)=k^{\prime 2} K(k)$ and add them to the first equation multiplied by 4 ; then we multiply the fourth equation by $3 k^{\prime 2}$, the third one by $4\left(1+k^{2}\right)$ and we add them to the second equation multiplied by $k^{\prime 2}$, etc. Consequently, taking account that $C_{0}=K(k)$, the system (1.12) takes the canonical form

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{i}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_{i j} a_{j}+B_{i} \quad(i=0,1,2, \ldots)  \tag{2.10}\\
& A_{0 j}=-q_{01}\left(e_{i j}\right)[4 K(k)]^{-1}, \quad B_{0}=\left[q_{01}\left(b_{i}\right)+4 \beta\right][4 K(k)]^{-1} \\
& A_{i j}=-1 / 4 q_{i}\left(e_{i j}\right), \quad B_{i}=1 / 4 q_{i}\left(b_{i}\right) \quad(i=1,2 \ldots)
\end{align*}
$$

3. The approximate solution of the system (2.10) can be obtained by the method of reduction described in [3], say, which can also be given a foundation for the system mentioned, Let us limit ourselves to terms of degree not above $2 n+1$ in the integral equation for $\varphi_{1}(\xi)$ by expanding the function into a series. Then, the degree of the variable $x$ in the left side of the equation will not be greater than $2 n-1$ because of (1.8). Hence, it is necessary to impose the constraint $i \leqslant n-1$ on $i$ in the expansion (1.9). The polynomials in the expansions of the functions are odd, hence, the condition for $i, j$ in (1.9) can be written as $i+j \leqslant n-1$, which is equivalent to the first constraint. Then if it is considered that $e_{i j}=0$ for $i+j \geqslant n$, we write the reduced system of equations (2.10) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}=\sum_{j=0}^{n-i} A_{i j} a_{j}+B_{i} \quad(i=0,1, \ldots, n) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficient matrix $A_{i j}$ of the system (3.1) is almost triangular.
The integral characterisitics of the solution $\varphi_{1}(x)$ are of interest. The magnitude of the force $P_{1}$ is determined from the formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{1}=\int_{k}^{1} \varphi_{1}(x) d x=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} S_{i}, \quad S_{i}=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{\cos 2 i x d x}{\sqrt{1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} x}}  \tag{3.2}\\
& \left.S_{0}=K\left(k^{\prime}\right), \quad S_{1}=\| 2 E\left(k^{\prime}\right)-\left(1+k^{2}\right) K\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right]\left(k^{\prime}\right)^{-2} \\
& q_{i}\left(S_{i}\right)=0 \quad(i=1,2, \ldots)
\end{align*}
$$

The validity of the recursion formula in (3.2) is evident. We have a simple expression

$$
M_{1}=\int_{h}^{1} \varphi_{1}(x) x d x=\frac{\pi}{2} a_{0}
$$

for the moment $M_{1}$ because of (1.5),(1.6).
There remains to note that if the function $f(x)$ in ( 0.1 ) is representable by a rapidly converging series of the form $(1,10)$ or its segment for $x \in[k, 1]$, then it is expedient to seek the solution $\varphi(x)$ not in the form (1.1) but using directly the scheme of the method of the polynomials $T_{i}{ }^{*}(x)$.

As an illustration, let us examine the problem of the contact of two flat stamps $\langle f(x)=$ sgn $x$ ) with an elastic strip on a rigid base: hinge fixing holds on the boundaries of the stamp-strip base. This problem has no physical meaning, but is an important component part of the problems in which $f(x)=f_{1}(x)+\beta \operatorname{sgn} x$ and the function $f_{1}(x)$ is even.

The coefficients $e_{i ;}$ of the series (1.9) were computed on an electronic computer by
successive approximations according to (1.11) by using the Gauss-Hermite quadrature formula and values of the function $F(t)$ tabulated in [6] which is a composite part of $G(\xi, x, \lambda)$. Because of the properties of the function $G(\xi, x, \lambda)$, the successive approximations must converge to the exact value of the integral. Values of $e_{i j} \cdot 10^{4}$ are presented in Table 1 for $\lambda=2$ and $k=0.1, k=0.5, k=0.9$.

The approximate solutions $\varphi(x)$ of the integral equation ( 0.1 ) are finally represented as

$$
\varphi(x)=\frac{\operatorname{sgn} x}{g(x)} P_{2 n}(x), \quad P_{2 n}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} d_{i} x^{2 i}
$$

For the case $\lambda=2$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P_{2 n}=0.636+0.205 x^{2}-0.0650 x^{4}+0.00792 x^{3}, & k=0.1 \\
P_{2 n}=0.569+0.134 x^{2}-0.0592 x^{4}+0.00512 x^{4}, & k=0.5 \\
P_{2 n}=0.383+0.136 x^{2}-0.0310 x^{4}, & k=0.9
\end{array}
$$

Table 1

| $i$ | i) $3=$ un | $i j=10$ | $i j=11$ | i) $=3$ | $i j=\ddot{2}$ | $i j=39$ | $i j=30$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11.1 | -2907 | 130.2 | $-19.17$ | $-2.682$ | 0.006066 | 0.006050 | 0.06120 |
| 0.5 | -204 | 93.53 | -9.944 | $-1.409$ | 0.04146 | 0.0010 | 0.01580 |
| 0.9 | -2110 | 21.00 | $-0.5120$ | $-0.06120$ |  |  |  |

Table 2

| $i$ | $\times(k)$ | $\varphi(1+k .3)$ | $x(1)$ | $p$ | $M$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 0.6414 | 1.533 | 0.7876 | 2.520 | 1.127 |
|  | 0.6416 | 1.535 | 0.7784 | 2.514 | 1.123 |
| 0.5 | 0.7094 | 1.788 | 0.8210 | 1.418 | 1.046 |
|  | 0.7098 | 1.786 | 0.8110 | 1.414 | 1.042 |
| 0.9 | 1.085 | 5.064 | 1.121 | 0.7954 | 0.7555 |
|  | 1.083 | 5.038 | 1.111 | 0.7912 | 0.7514 |

Presented in Table 2 are some characterisitics of the solution $\varphi(x)$ for $\lambda=2$, where the following notation has been introduced

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\chi(k)=\lim \varphi(x) \sqrt{x^{2}-h^{2}} & \text { for } x \rightarrow i \\
\chi(1)=\lim \varphi(x) \sqrt{1-x^{2}} & \text { for } x-1
\end{array}
$$

Values obtained by the "method of large $\lambda$ " in [4] for the corresponding quantities are placed in the lower rows for comparison. The discrepancy between the values does not exceed $1.5 \%$ for all $h$.

As the parameter $\lambda$ diminishes, especially for $k$ close to zero, the number of equations in the system (3.1) must be increased to maintain given accuracy of the solution $\varphi(x)$. Computations have shown that the system method is effective for $\lambda>1 / 4$ in


Fig. 1
this case for all $0<k<\mathbf{1}$; the number of equations required in the system (3.1) hence does not exceed seven to obtain a solution $\varphi(x)$ to $2 \%$ accuracy.

The boundaries of applicability of the method of large $\lambda(\lambda \geqslant 2)$, the sys rem method ( $\lambda>1 / 4$ ) are shown in Fig. 1; domains in which the same number of equations of the system (3.1) is needed to obtain a solution $\varphi(x)$ to no less accuracy than $2 \%$ are separated by curved lines, where each curve is marked with the number of equations.

It should be noted that the solution of ( 0.1 ) found in closed form by using a special approximation of the kernel [4] differs from that obtained by the system method by not more than $5 \%$ for the case considered with $n>1 / 4$.

The author is grateful to V. M. Aleksandrov for monitoring the research and for useful discussions.
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